## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/584,055	OLBERT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
KEVIN M. JOHNSON	1793

	KEVIN M. JOHNSON	1793	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>KEVIN M. JOHNSON</u> .	(3)Richard Chinn.		
(2)	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 April 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark>⊡</mark> applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-20</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: None.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	g)∭ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Message was left for Ric 4/20/2009 was improperly identified as non-final and should replacement Office Action will be mailed.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no complete allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE O	thard Chinn indicating that the id be properly identified as a fill the pr	Office Action mental rejection. A content of the result of	illed corrected  er the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO
/Kevin M Johnson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793			